Reporting issues is a valuable contribution to the safety and well-being of trial participants and consumers.

Thank you for following this guide.

There are **two** responsibilities:

- IDENTIFY and
- COMMUNICATE

any case of suspected **fraud**, **misconduct**, or **serious breach**.

- Here's a quick guide to help you through the process.
- Please read and follow it carefully.



IDENTIFY – definitions at glance:

FRAUD

Always **intentional falsification** of data in proposing, designing, performing, recording, supervising, reviewing or reporting research.

MISCONDUCT

Either intentional or unintentional failure to follow proper conduct.

SERIOUS BREACH

Any deviation of the approved clinical trial protocol version or the Regulation (EU) No 536/2014 or local regulation that is likely to significantly affect safety, rights or well-being of clinical trial participants, and/or data reliability and robustness.

By anyone:

investigator, site staff, contractor, CRO, third party, sponsor staff



IDENTIFY – examples of warning signs:

FRAUD

- Accrual of too many participants in a short time.
- Data that are reported more efficiently by one investigator than other investigators.
- Difficulty in scheduling site visits / failure to adhere to the protocol visit schedule.
- Attempts to limit document access, reluctance to provide full and complete source documentation as per GCP requirements
- Doubts about the authenticity of the handwritten Informed consent signature.
- Major failure to the ALCOA principle.
- Major and repeated drug accountability issues.
- Creation of source data for non-existing patients or non-existing procedures.
- Filling in the eCRF or subject's diaries with fictitious information.
- Violating eligibility criteria in order to boost recruitment.
- ...etc.

MISCONDUCT

- Generation of false data due to carelessness, inexperience or misunderstanding, but with no intent to deceive.
- Failure to follow the protocol.
- Inexperienced site-staff.
- Perceived unawareness of the Investigator's Brochure (IB) or SmPC
- Too many studies at the same time at a site with the limited staff-capacity.
- Disregard for participant safety and/or data integrity,
- Lack of GxP knowledge in site personnel,
- Inadequate or inaccurate recordkeeping.
- ...etc.

SERIOUS BREACH

- A breach of regulation and/ or protocol that resulted in a serious adverse event (SAE).
- A systematic non-compliance with GCP or protocol resulting in significant impact on the integrity of the scientific value of studies
- participant was dosed with the incorrect IMP from a different clinical trial.
- Safety evaluation required by the protocol were **consistently not performed**.
- The investigator would not allow sponsor access to the trial participants' notes.
- Loss of data.
- Participants incorrectly randomised.
- ...etc.



COMMUNICATE

If you are suspicious of fraud, misconduct or serious breach:

 inform the sponsor within 24 hours of detecting the issue by sending an email to sb@zentiva.com

The report should contain at least:

- Data of Incident
- Description of Incident
- Supporting Evidence

In case of a suspected **Serious Breach**, please complete the **FORM**

The sponsor may arrange a meeting to discuss it.

NOTE: Please stay available in case the sponsor has any follow-up questions.



ZENTIVA